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## 1. The Result

Let $q_{0}, q_{1}, \ldots$, be the normalized orthogonal polynomials associated with the distribution $d \alpha$ on the fundamental interval $[-1,1]$. The weighted least squares approximation to $f$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H[f]=\sum_{t=0}^{n} q_{\nu} \int_{-1}^{1} f(t) q_{v}(t) d \alpha(t) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The purpose of this note is to estimate the error $\|f-H[f]\|$, where $\|\cdot\|$ means the sup-norm on $[-1,1]$. We have the

Theorem. Let da be a distribution with the following properties:
(i) iff is any continuous function, then

$$
\int_{-1}^{1} f(x) d \alpha(x)=\int_{-1}^{1} f(-x) d \alpha(x)
$$

(ii) $\quad\left\|q_{v}\right\|=q_{v}(1), v=0,1, \ldots, n+1$.

If the derivative $f^{(n+1)}$ exists, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f-H[f]\| \leqslant \frac{\left\|q_{n+1}\right\|}{\left\|q_{n+1}^{(n+1)}\right\|}\left\|f^{(n+1)}\right\| . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark. The example $f=q_{n+1}$ shows, that the error estimation (2) is unimprovable.

Special Case 1. $d \alpha(x)=\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{3} d x, \beta \geqslant-\frac{1}{2}$. This is the expansion in terms of ultraspherical polynomials. The hypotheses of the theorem are fulfilled (Szegö [7, p. 166, p. 80|); Equation (2) is known in this case [1].

Special Case 2. Let $m$ be a natural number with $m \geqslant n+2$. Let $d \alpha$ be given by

$$
\int_{-1}^{1} f(x) d \alpha(x) \equiv \frac{2}{m} \sum_{\kappa-1}^{m} f\left(\xi_{\kappa}\right), \quad \xi_{\kappa}=-\cos \frac{2 \kappa-1}{2 m} \pi
$$

In this case is $q_{0}=2^{-1 / 2} T_{0}, q_{r}=T_{1}(v=1, \ldots, n+1)($ Rivlin $\mid 6$, p. 49|). where $T_{1}$ denotes the Chebyshev polynomial $T_{r}(x)=\cos v \operatorname{arc} \cos x$. The theorem is applicable and leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|H|f|-f\| \leqslant \frac{\left\|f^{(n-1)}\right\|}{2^{n}(n+1)!} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This bound may be interesting in view of the following well-known result (Meinardus [4, p. 78]). If $p$ denotes the polynomial of best approximation with respect to the sup-norm, then

$$
\|p-f\| \leqslant \frac{\left\|f^{(n+1)}\right\|}{2^{n}(n+1)!}
$$

is unimprovable.
The operator $H$ makes sense for $m=n+1$, too. Then it coincides with the interpolation operator with nodes $\xi_{\kappa}(\kappa=1, \ldots, m)$. Therefore, $H[f \mid$ is in the general case $m>n+1$ a truncated interpolation polynomial.

Special Case 3. Let $m$ be a natural number with $m \geqslant n+1$. Let $d \alpha$ be given by

$$
\int_{-1}^{1} f(x) d \alpha(x) \equiv \frac{1}{m} \left\lvert\, f(-1)+2 \sum_{\kappa=1}^{m-1} f\left(-\cos \frac{\kappa \pi}{m}\right)+f(1)^{\prime}\right.
$$

In this case we have $q_{0}=2^{1 / 2} T_{0}, q_{r}=T_{r}(v=1, \ldots, m-1), q_{m}=2^{{ }^{12}-2} T_{m}$ (Rivlin $[6$, p. 50$]$ ). Therefore, the bound (3) holds for all $m \geqslant n+1$. This generalizes the result of Phillips and Taylor $|5|$, who dealt with $m=n+1$. In view of results of Lewanowicz [3] the choice $m=2 n+1$ leads to a further approximation method of special interest.

## 2. The Proof

We require the lemma:

Lemma. If $|\alpha| \leqslant A,|\beta| \leqslant B$ are real numbers, and $x, y$ are elements of $a$ normed space with norm $\|\cdot\|^{*}$, then

$$
\|\alpha x+\beta y\|^{*} \leqslant \max \left\{\|A x+B y\|^{*},\|A x-B y\|^{*}\right\}
$$

holds.

Proof. It is easily seen that $\zeta(\beta):=\|\alpha x+\beta y\|^{*}$ is a convex function. Therefore $\zeta$ attains its maximum on the boundary

$$
\|\alpha x+\beta y\|^{*} \leqslant \max \left\{\|\alpha x+B y\|^{*},\|\alpha x-B y\|^{*}\right\}
$$

A similar argument applied to $\psi(\alpha):=\|\alpha x \pm B y\|^{*}$ will establish the lemma.
Proof of the Theorem. Let $\delta_{n}$ denote the leading coefficient of $q_{n}$. According to the Christoffel-Darboux formula we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
R[f] & :=f(x)-H[f](x)=H[f(x)-f](x) \\
& =\int_{-1}^{1}[f(x)-f(t)] \sum_{v=0}^{n} q_{v}(x) q_{v}(t) d \alpha(t) \\
& =\frac{\delta_{n}}{\delta_{n+1}} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{f(x)-f(t)}{x-t}\left[q_{n+1}(x) q_{n}(t)-q_{n}(x) q_{n+1}(t)\right] d \alpha(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

if $x$ is fixed. We define a function $g$ by

$$
g(t)=\frac{f(x)-f(t)}{x-t}
$$

$g$ has $n$ derivatives, and the inequality

$$
\left\|g^{(s)}\right\| \leqslant \frac{\left\|f^{(s+1)}\right\|}{s+1}, \quad s=0,1, \ldots, n
$$

holds [2]. Introducing an operator norm for functionals $Q$ by

$$
\|Q\|_{s}:=\sup _{\|f(s)\| \leq 1}\|Q[f]\|
$$

we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\|R\|_{s+1} \leqslant \frac{\delta_{n}}{\delta_{n+1}} \frac{1}{s+1}\left\|q_{n+1}(x) C_{n}-q_{n}(x) C_{n+1}\right\|_{s}  \tag{4}\\
C_{r}|g|=\int_{1}^{1} g(t) q_{r}(t) d \alpha(t)
\end{gather*}
$$

As a consequence of the symmetry assumption (i) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|q_{n+1}(1) C_{n}\right| g\left|-q_{n}(1) C_{n+1}\right| g \mid & =\left|q_{n+1}(1) C_{n}\right| \bar{g}\left|+q_{n}(1) C_{n-1}\right| \bar{g} \mid \\
\bar{g}(x) & =g(-x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

and we conclude

$$
\left\|q_{n+1}(1) C_{n}-q_{n}(1) C_{n+1}\right\|_{s}=\left\|q_{n, 1}(1) C_{n}+q_{n}(1) C_{n, i}\right\|_{i}
$$

Hence, from (4) and the lemma

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|R\|_{s+1} \leqslant \frac{\delta_{n}}{\delta_{n+1}} \frac{1}{s+1}\left\|q_{n-1}(1) C_{n}-q_{n}(1) C_{n-1}\right\|_{1} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$s=0,1, \ldots, n$. The polynomial $q_{n+1}(1) q_{n}-q_{n}(1) q_{n+1}$ has the zero 1 and $n$ further zeros $\eta_{t} \in|-1,1|$ (Szegö $\mid 7$, p. 45|). Let intpol $|g|$ be the interpolation polynomial for $g$ with respect to the knots $\eta_{r}(v=1 \ldots . . n)$. Using

$$
g(t)-\text { intpol }|g|(t)=\prod_{r-1}^{n}\left(t-\eta_{r}\right) \frac{g^{(n)}(\xi)}{n!}, \quad \xi \in|-1,1| .
$$

and the orthogonality, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
q_{n+1}(1) & C_{n}|g|-q_{n}(1) C_{n+1}|g| \\
& =\int_{-1}^{1} g(t)\left|q_{n+1}(1) q_{n}(t)-q_{n}(1) q_{n+1}(t)\right| d \alpha(t) \\
& =\int_{-1}^{1}|g(t)-\operatorname{intpol}| g|(t)||\cdots| d \alpha(t) \\
& =\frac{g^{(n)}\left(\xi_{1}\right)}{n!} \int_{-1}^{1} \prod_{v=1}^{n}\left(t-\eta_{r}\right)|\cdots| d \alpha(t) \\
& =\left.\frac{g^{(n)}\left(\xi_{1}\right)}{n!} q_{n+1}(1)\right|_{-1} ^{1} \prod_{n=1}^{n}\left(t-\eta_{t}\right) q_{n}(t) d \alpha(t) \\
& =\frac{g^{(n)}\left(\xi_{1}\right)}{n!} \frac{q_{n+1}(1)}{\delta_{n}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies

$$
\left\|q_{n+1}(1) C_{n}-q_{n}(1) C_{n+1}\right\|_{n}=\frac{q_{n+1}(1)}{n!\delta_{n}}
$$

Combining this equality with (5) concludes the proof.
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